

Review Article

Nanotechnology in Orthodontics: Current Applications and Future Perspectives

Wojciech Dobrzynski¹, Maria Szymonowicz², Rafal J. Wiglusz^{3,4*}, Zbigniew Rybak², Anna Zawadzka-Knefel⁵, Mateusz Janecki⁶, Adam Lubojanski², Karolina Kurek⁷, Maciej Dobrzynski⁸, Wojciech Zakrzewski²

¹Department of Dental Materials, School of Medicine with the Division of Dentistry in Zabrze, Medical University of Silesia in Katowice, 41-902 Bytom, Poland.

²Department of Experimental Surgery and Biomaterial Research, Wroclaw Medical University, Bujwida 44, 50-345 Wroclaw, Poland.

³International Institute of Translational Medicine, 55-124 Malin, Poland.

⁴Institute of Low Temperature and Structure Research, Polish Academy of Sciences, Okolna 2, 50-422 Wroclaw, Poland.

⁵Department of Conservative Dentistry and Endodontics, Wroclaw Medical University, Krakowska 26, 50-425 Wroclaw, Poland.

⁶Department of Maxillofacial Surgery, Mikulicz Radecki's University Hospital, Borowska 213, 50-556 Wroclaw, Poland.

⁷Rajdent, Kozielowskiego 9, 42-200 Czestochowa, Poland.

⁸Department of Pediatric Dentistry and Preclinical Dentistry, Wroclaw Medical University, Krakowska 26, 50-425 Wroclaw, Poland.

*E-mail ✉ r.wiglusz@intibs.pl

Received: 19 April 2024; Revised: 02 August 2024; Accepted: 06 August 2024

ABSTRACT

Dentistry is one of the professions that use nanoparticles. However, there are concerns regarding their unidentified effects on human health and the environment. This study aimed to investigate the extent of the use of nanoparticles in orthodontics because of their growing use in other dental specialties. This review article uses the keywords nano chitosan, nano zinc oxide, nano silver, nano curcumin, nanoparticles, and orthodontics. The relevant publications were chosen after searching the Google Scholar, Scopus, PubMed, and Web of Science databases using the requested keywords. The investigations indicate that tetravalent ammonium derivatives, titanium oxide, curcumin, zinc oxide, chitosan, and all silver nanoparticles have strong antibacterial qualities. Further research is recommended on the effects of each of these nanoparticles on binding strength. There is inadequate data to support the use of ACP nanoparticles, calcium-phosphate nanoparticles, and fluoride to decrease caries; hence, further research is recommended for further exploration. According to studies, adding zinc oxide nanoparticles to orthodontic wires reduces friction between the wire and the bracket, whereas adding titanium oxide nanoparticles to the bracket increases friction. Despite the research on the subject of nanoparticle toxicity being sparse, it appears that nanoparticles are not more harmful than ordinary chemicals.

Keywords: Dentistry, Nanotechnology, Nanoparticles, Orthodontics

How to Cite This Article: Dobrzynski W, Szymonowicz M, Wiglusz RJ, Rybak Z, Zawadzka-Knefel A, Janecki M, et al. Nanotechnology in Orthodontics: Current Applications and Future Perspectives. Asian J Periodont Orthodont. 2024;4:24-33.

Introduction

Nano is taken from a Greek term that means little. Nano is a billionth of a unit. This quantity of mass is roughly

equivalent to two to three atoms [1, 2]. Nanomaterials are solids that are less than 100 nm in at least one dimension, such as nanometer clusters, films, and plates having a thickness of less than 100 nm [3, 4].

Because of their tiny size, nanomaterials exhibit unique properties. Nanomaterials, for instance, are lighter and stronger than other materials, with distinct mechanical and chemical characteristics [5]. Materials with nanoscale size have a high surface-to-volume ratio and hence react better with other materials. For instance, these chemicals react with cell membranes and bacteria and exhibit their antimicrobial capabilities on a large scale [6, 7].

It has been demonstrated that nanoparticles have superior physical, chemical, mechanical, and optical characteristics than microparticles and may be utilized to create dental materials with excellent mechanical properties and greater antibacterial effects [4]. The introduction of nanoparticles into the area of dentistry has generated tremendous development in this sector, including the prevention of cavities, improvement of antibacterial properties of materials, improvement of mechanical characteristics of materials, and so on [5]. There are two production techniques in nanotechnology [8, 9]. Bottom-up technique, in which atoms are stacked side by side to achieve the necessary nanoscale size. Today, this strategy is widely employed. Another way is the top-down approach, which involves hammering, grinding, and chemical corrosion of crushed materials to produce nano-sized particles. These technologies are more restricted, and controlling them is more complex and cannot be applied to all materials. Because of their unique qualities, nanoparticles are employed in a variety of industries, including dentistry. However, there are worries about the unknown impacts on the environment and human health [10-12]. The goal of the present research was to examine the utilization of nanoparticles in orthodontics because of their growing usage in many dental specialties.

Materials and Methods

The present study was conducted as a review of the evidence available in electronic databases. The present study is a review study and was conducted using the keywords Nano Chitosan, Nano ZnO, Nano silver, Nano crucumin, Nanoparticles, and Orthodontics. The desired keywords were searched in Google Scholar, Scopus, PubMed, and Web of Science databases, and the relevant articles were selected.

The search range of articles was from 2010 onwards. After searching with the mentioned keywords and reviewing each of these articles, the articles that used nanoparticles in orthodontic appliances were reviewed. After the review, the articles were divided based on the different applications of nanoparticles.

Results and Discussion

Application of nanoparticles to investigate its antibacterial effects

Silver

More research has been conducted on silver nanoparticles' antibacterial qualities than on any other type of nanoparticle. The use of silver nanoparticles in orthodontic materials and devices is given particular consideration because of their antimicrobial qualities. These nanoparticles are employed in acrylic, mouthwash, orthodontic wires, and orthodontic elastomers in addition to primers. The impact of adding 1% silver and 1% zinc oxide nanoparticles to orthodontic composite on *Streptococcus mutans* and *Lactobacillus* was assessed by Kasraei *et al.* [13]. The study's findings demonstrated the strong antibacterial properties of zinc oxide and silver nanoparticles. In comparison to silver, zinc oxide had a stronger antibacterial impact on *Streptococcus mutans*. However, *Lactobacillus* was the same.

Silver's strong antibacterial qualities are supported by several further research [14–17]. Silver nanoparticles utilized in orthodontics have established and confirmed antibacterial qualities.

According to a study, the development of *Streptococcus mutans*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, and *Escherichia coli* bacteria is inhibited by the elastomer containing silver nanoparticles when compared to the standard elastomer. Additionally, as compared to the control group, an improvement in its physical attributes was noted [18].

Research on the use of silver nanoparticles in orthodontic bands has shown that a consistent and long-lasting layer of these nanoparticles could be formed on the band's exterior. These nanoparticles produce silver nanoparticles regularly and exhibit strong antibacterial characteristics when applied to the bandage's surface [19–21]. Even while these antibacterial qualities could wane with time [19].

In one study, Mhaske *et al.* [22] looked at how nickel-titanium wires coated with silver nanoparticles and stainless steel affected the *Lactobacillus acidophilus* microbes' ability to adhere and fight off germs. Wires made of stainless steel and nickel-titanium, which were not coated with silver nanoparticles, increased in weight by 35.4% and 20.5%, respectively, as a result of bacteria and detritus adhering to them. It was 4.08% for stainless steel wires and 4.4% for nickel-titanium wires when silver nanoparticles were used. Compared to coated wires, this weight gain was negligible for uncoated wires. The coated wires considerably reduced the *Lactobacillus acidophilus* bacteria's survival rate.

In addition to inhibiting *Streptococcus mutans*, covering orthodontic brackets with silver nanoparticles has been shown to have this impact both near and far from the bracket [23, 24]. Thus, caries on smooth surfaces can be decreased by applying silver nanoparticles to orthodontic brackets [23].

According to research, patients who wear separate retainers containing silver nanoparticles had a decreased incidence of *Streptococcus mutans*. Strong impacts over *Streptococcus mutans* were seen in the group that used mobile ionizers containing silver nanoparticles, and the quantity of this bacteria was significantly decreased. Despite this, the planktonic control of bacteria is more affected by these nanoparticles [24–26]. Venugopal *et al.* [27] looked at how the antibacterial qualities of orthodontic microimplants were affected when their surface was coated with titanium and silver nanoparticles. The findings of this investigation showed that, after 24 hours, micro-implants coated with AgNP-coated biopolymer (Ti-BP-AgNP) had an inhibitory impact on bacterial growth. Due to its superior antibacterial qualities, AgNP-coated biopolymer (Ti-BP-AgNP) will be a potential implantable biomaterial, even though micro-implants coated with regular AgNPs (Ti-ANP) did not have an inhibitory impact on the development of bacteria.

Curcumin

Curcumin's capacity to break down the peptidoglycan wall of bacteria is what gives it its antibacterial properties. Numerous bacteria, including *Streptococcus*, *Lactobacillus*, and *Staphylococcus*, are inhibited in their development and proliferation by this chemical, according to earlier research [28]. The impact of adding 1.5 and 10% by weight of curcumin nanoparticles on the composite's bond strength and antibacterial qualities was examined by Sodagar *et al.* [4]. According to the research's findings, adding all three concentrations considerably lowers the levels of *Streptococcus mutans*, *Streptococcus sanguis*, and *Lactobacillus acidophilus*; nevertheless, a concentration of 1% by weight is advised to obtain the strongest band.

Chitosan

Strong antibacterial qualities have been demonstrated by the usage of chitosan nanoparticles in adhesives. The antibacterial properties of chitosan are enhanced by raising its concentration. Because the planktonic form of bacteria is less cohesive and disintegrates more easily than the biofilm, this nanoparticle has a stronger influence on it. A 10% concentration was shown to

have the strongest antibacterial impact in one investigation [29]. When it comes to caries microorganisms, chitosan nanoparticles have more potent antibacterial properties than chitosan particles. Around chitosan nanoparticles, the halo of the absence of growth in the culture media is greater [30]. These nanoparticles' tiny size and increased interaction with bacteria are what give them their antibacterial properties. When chitosan nanoparticles are added at a concentration of 5 mg/ml, *Streptococcus mutans* biofilm production is reduced by 93.4% [30]. Good antibacterial and anti-caries capabilities have also been demonstrated when chitosan nanoparticles are added to mouthwash [31]. In contrast to two commercial mouthwash types that contain chlorhexidine and essential oil ingredients, the results of a study demonstrated that the mouthwash containing chitosan nanoparticles had better antibacterial qualities and could handle the development of biofilm and its maturation by adhering to the microorganisms [31].

Titanium oxide

Because of their photocatalytic qualities, TiO₂ nanoparticles exhibit antibacterial activity that is triggered via UV light. Following exposure to UV light, free radicals are created, which interact with the biomolecules of microorganisms. The photocatalytic property is restricted to ultraviolet light, which includes light with a wavelength of less than 387 nm. Only 5% of the spectrum of white light is made up of UV radiation. However, UV radiation poses a risk of harming the skin and eyes itself [32].

In the region of intense visible light, doping and surface modification of TiO₂ nanoparticles can activate their antibacterial properties. It has been demonstrated that N-doping is the best technique for using both visible and ultraviolet light to activate titanium oxide nanoparticles. According to a study, compared to traditional brackets, the surface of orthodontic brackets coated with a thin coating of N-doped TiO₂-xy attracts fewer germs. Consequently, it is advised to apply N-doped TiO₂-xy to the brackets to stop gingivitis and enamel demineralization [32]. Additionally, it has been demonstrated that titanium oxide nanoparticles efficiently lower the number of bacteria in brackets coated with them [24].

In addition, titanium nanoparticles have been included in the glass ionomer. The study's findings show that the antibacterial qualities and bond strength of the GI base liner remain unchanged when titanium nanoparticles are added. Without weakening the connection, restorative enhances antibacterial qualities [33].

Ahrari *et al.* [34] tested mouthwashes containing various nanoparticles for their ability to inhibit *Streptococcus mutans* and *Streptococcus sanguis*. They tested mouthwashes with TiO₂, CuO, ZnO, and Ag nanoparticles to sodium fluoride and parahexidine. The study's findings revealed that sodium fluoride mouthwash has no antibacterial activity against these two pathogens. According to the conclusions of this study, the mouthwash with titanium oxide nanoparticles is the best in terms of antibacterial activity against *Streptococcus mutans* and *Streptococcus satgulis*.

According to an analysis of the staining impacts of mouthwashes including ZnO, Ag, Cu, TiO₂, chlorhexidine, and water nanoparticles, mouthwash containing zinc oxide nanoparticles causes the greatest tooth discoloration, while mouthwash containing titanium oxide nanoparticles causes the least. Comparing these mouthwashes to chlorhexidine, the amount of discoloration caused was equal to or greater than that of titanium oxide. Brushing won't be able to get rid of discoloration. According to the research's outcomes, these nanoparticles in this form are not suitable for replacing chlorhexidine to eliminate its adverse impacts [35].

In some treatments and throughout the retention phase, the use of detachable orthodontic plates is crucial. Antibacterial qualities are essential in this equipment since they must be used over time and interfere with saliva's natural ability to cleanse teeth. Silicone and titanium oxide nanoparticles were added to polymethyl mena acrylate by Sodagar *et al.* [36], who then examined the impact on dental caries bacteria. The study's findings show that the addition of nanoparticles in acrylic composition may lower the bacterial population from 3.2-99%. The kind of bacteria being studied, the type of nanoparticles, and the ambient light all affect this impact. The results of this investigation validate the potent antimicrobial capabilities of these two nanoparticles in acrylic.

Polyethyleneimine nanoparticles

This material is an ammonium derivative that is tetravalent. Cross-linked quaternary ammonium (polyethyleneimine) nanoparticles (QPEI) were used in mobile plaque resin, which demonstrated that when used at low concentrations, these nanoparticles have strong antibacterial activity in the in vivo environment and inhibit a variety of salivary microbes [37].

CuO and ZnO

Despite this, silver nanoparticles' antibacterial qualities have been demonstrated. Nevertheless, the danger of

tooth discoloration (pigmentation) and the biological safety of silver nanoparticles make their practical application challenging. Thus, it is especially crucial to employ nanoparticles with few issues and drawbacks [38].

Ramazanadeh *et al.* [39] studied the antibacterial impacts of coating orthodontic brackets with zinc oxide and CuO nanoparticles and determined that in the short term, the antibacterial capabilities of the ZnO-CuO and CuO combination against *Streptococcus mutans* are positive. According to the results of this research, zinc oxide nanoparticles alone are less suggested than ZnO-CuO and CuO.

Application of nanoparticles to investigate their effect on the bond strength of orthodontic bracket with tooth surface

In orthodontics, the bonding technique using composite resin is mostly utilized to join the bracket to the surface of the tooth. The accumulation of plaque, the development of white lesions, and the breaking of the band are some of the drawbacks of bonding, despite its benefits, which include excellent aesthetics and ease of use. These flaws result in longer treatment times, longer clinical work periods, and higher treatment costs. Numerous techniques have been developed to stop tooth decay and biofilm growth. Adding antibacterial materials to the composite resin is one technique. Fluoride and chlorhexidine are the two materials utilized for this. It is thought that because of their tiny size, nanoparticles have strong antibacterial qualities [40]. Understanding how nanoparticles affect the binding strength between the bracket and composite or cement of orthodontic braces is crucial, in addition to their antibacterial properties.

Silver nanoparticles

Because of its antibacterial qualities, silver has garnered a lot of interest in nano-dentistry. Although the antibacterial qualities of silver nanoparticles make them advantageous, their impact on bond strength has been questioned when added to orthodontic glue. According to earlier research, the bond strength may be decreased by adding silver nanoparticles to the adhesive; brackets glued with composites containing silver nanoparticles have a statistically significant reduced bond strength [41]. According to Degrazia *et al.* [42], this bond strength drop is therapeutically acceptable while being statistically significant. Silver nanoparticles were added to reinforced glass ionomer cement in another investigation, and it was shown that while the bond strength is still satisfactory, it

diminishes as the number of silver nanoparticles increases [19].

Blocher demonstrated, however, that there is no discernible difference in bond strength between brackets joined with normal resin composite and resin composite containing silver nanoparticles [43]. The impact of incorporating nano-hydroxyapatite and silver nanoparticles on the strength of orthodontic adhesive bonding was examined by Akhavan *et al.* [44]. According to their findings, the bond strength is increased when silver hydroxyapatite nanoparticles are added at rates of 1 and 5% by weight, but it is decreased when nanoparticles are added at rates of 10% by weight. Two investigations have shown that while adding silver nanoparticles to orthodontic band cement may somewhat weaken the band, the strength of the band is still clinically acceptable. Other mechanical characteristics that are comparable include modulus and ultimate transverse strength [45].

Titanium oxide

The photocatalytic characteristics of this particle have received a lot of interest in the last several decades. According to studies, this Nano Valley has antibacterial qualities and can improve the mechanical qualities of adhesives when added. The bond strength is also on par with or greater than that of the study's control group [41].

Research on using this nanoparticle in orthodontic adhesives has shown mixed findings. Two sets of brackets bonded with an adhesive containing titanium oxide nanoparticles and a normal adhesive did not significantly differ in bond strength or ARI index, according to Felemban *et al.* [46]. The lowest binding strength was found in brackets glued with an adhesive containing titanium oxide nanoparticles, according to research by Reddy *et al.* [41]. A further investigation on the impact of including titanium oxide and zinc oxide nanoparticles into Transbond XT glue revealed that the adhesive containing these tiny particles had increased tensile, bond, and compressive strengths [46].

According to the findings of a study on the addition of these nanoparticles to the ionomer class, the bond strength remains unchanged when titanium particles are tattooed onto glass ionomer luting, base, and repair [33].

Sepiolite

A substance called sepiolite, which has a needle-like crystal structure, is created when phyllosilicate binds together. Using this material as a nanofiller is one of its novel applications. Because of the active regions on its

surface, this material interacts with both nanofiller and nanofiller-matrix. The produced polymer is further strengthened by this nanoparticle because of its exceptional adherence and matrix compatibility as well as its special qualities. Investigations were conducted on the impact of incorporating nano sepiolite particles into dentin bond materials. According to the results, this nanoparticle strengthens the bond between dentin and dentine; the bond strength increases as the concentration of the nanoparticle increases. The highest concentration examined, 1%, produced the greatest increase in bond strength [47]. Research on the use of this nanoparticle in orthodontics has not yet been conducted.

Curcumin

This compound is derived from the turmeric plant and is used as a herbal remedy to heal illnesses in addition to being used as a spice. Many bacteria, including *Lactobacillus* and *Staphylococcus*, are inhibited in their development by this chemical. While curcumin's insolubility is seen as a significant drawback, the work by Sodagar *et al.* [4] suggests adding 1% by weight of curcumin nanoparticles to orthodontic composite with good antibacterial benefits and no detrimental effects on bond strength.

Chitosan

Fungi and some plants contain chitosan nanoparticles, which are a biopolymer that is produced by distilling quinine. Owing to its antiviral, antifungal, and antimicrobial qualities, this material finds application in a variety of industries, including the food, pharmaceutical, cosmetic, and dental sectors [48]. Research by Sodagar *et al.* [48] on the addition of chitosan nanoparticles to orthodontic adhesives found that all concentrations of the substance exhibited antibacterial qualities against *Streptococcus mutans* and *Streptococcus sanguinis*. The binding strength of brackets bonded with a composite of chitosan nanoparticles and the control group did not differ statistically significantly. Therefore, even if this nanoparticle has antibacterial qualities, its application won't have a big impact on the bond's strength.

Zinc oxide

Compared to brackets glued with traditional composite, brackets bonded with an adhesive containing this nanoparticle have a much reduced binding strength [41].

Application of nanoparticles to prevent caries

Compared to brackets glued with traditional composite, brackets bonded with an adhesive containing this nanoparticle have a much reduced binding strength. Dental caries around orthodontic brackets is a serious clinical issue. There are now several techniques to stop tooth decay and biofilm growth. Incorporating antibacterial materials into the composite resin is one approach. Fluoride and chlorhexidine are the two materials utilized for this [48]. Nanofilled composites that release fluoride have been demonstrated to release fluoride just as much as micro-filled composites that release fluoride. Thus, adding fluoride-releasing nanofilled particles to the composite in an attempt to lower dental cavities is not advised [49].

ACP is one of the components that may be added to the composite that can release calcium and phosphate, which is another method of reducing deterioration. ACP's inadequate mechanical qualities are its biggest drawback. The mechanical qualities of ACP nanoparticles can be twice as strong as those of ACP microparticles while releasing phosphate and calcium. Prior research has demonstrated that the mineral content and *Streptococcus mutans* count are both greater in the ACP-NPS adhesive group up to six months following bracket bonding. Thus, it is advised to utilize ACP-MPS adhesive. Additionally, a charged cement that contains amorphous calcium and phosphate nanoparticles can release calcium and phosphate continually. The components of refillable cement are ethoxylated bisphenol dimethacrylate (EBPADMA) and glycerol dimethacrylate (PMGDM). The binding strength between the new (uncharged) cement and traditional orthodontic cement is equal. CaCl_2 and K_2HPO_4 are the ingredients of the calcium and phosphate charging solution. When this cement is submerged in the charging solution three times a day for one minute, it can release calcium and phosphate for fourteen days [50].

Under orthodontic braces, microleakage may contribute to dental cavities. It has been demonstrated that adding nano-hydroxyapatite to glass ionomer cement may greatly lower microleakage [51].

Application of nanoparticles to reduce friction between wire and bracket

Force is needed to accomplish the aim, get beyond the resistance caused by contact with the bracket, and induce the bone remodeling needed for tooth movement as the teeth glide down the archwire. The anchoring unit's teeth regrettably experience the reaction of both forces, namely the force of teeth movement and the resistance to friction. Research has

indicated that in optimal circumstances, the frictional force is high. For instance, if a canine tooth needs to be moved to close the gap left by its extraction, 100 grams of force will be needed to move the tooth and another 100 grams to overcome frictional resistance. This means that the total force needed to move the tooth is twice as much as we would anticipate [52].

Zinc oxide

When 0.016-inch steel wire is covered with nano zinc oxide particles, the friction during sliding in the metal bracket is reduced by 39%. In ceramic brackets, applying zinc oxide nanoparticle coating on orthodontic wires also lessens sliding resistance. Such an impact has not been observed when orthodontic wire and brackets or zinc oxide nanoparticles are coated simultaneously [53].

Titanium oxide nanoparticles and silver nanoparticles

Nanoparticles of titanium oxide are not advised for bracket coating since they greatly increase the friction between the wire and the stainless steel bracket. There is conflicting evidence about the use of silver nanoparticles to coat steel brackets since they also marginally enhance friction [24].

Application of nanoparticles to investigate the physical properties of acrylic

The use of nanoparticles in acrylic has received a lot of interest lately. These substances make up the majority of moving plates. In addition to researching the antibacterial qualities, it's critical to understand how these nanoparticles affect the physical characteristics of acrylic.

Silver

Studies on the addition of silver nanoparticles to acrylic have produced conflicting findings. It has been demonstrated that these nanoparticles improve Selecta Plus acrylic's flexural strength; nevertheless, additional additions once again result in a drop in flexural strength. The converse of this happens with fast acrylic; that is, when nanoparticles are added, the bending strength first drops before rising back to its starting point. As a result, the kind of grill and the proportion of silver nanoparticles added to determine how adding them affects the bending strength of acrylic [54].

Tio2 and Sio2

The addition of titanium oxide or silicon oxide nanoparticles to acrylic diminishes bending strength, and this loss is proportional to the nanoparticle dosage.

Flexural strength does not change much between acrylic-containing titanium oxide nanoparticles and silicon oxide nanoparticles. Although acrylic with titanium oxide demonstrates less strength than silicon oxide [36].

Application of nanoparticles to make orthodontic adhesive visible (Visibility)

The brackets and any leftover glue must be taken out once the orthodontic therapy is complete. However, it might be challenging to remove the orthodontic glue since it is the same color as the tooth. There is a chance that the enamel might be harmed when using rotary tools to remove glue [55]. After debonding the brackets using ultraviolet or near-ultraviolet light irradiation, the residual composite can be characterized by adding europium particles to a network of zinc oxide nanoparticles [56].

Conclusion

The investigations indicate that all silver nanoparticles, as well as derivatives of tetravalent ammonium, titanium oxide, chitosan, curcumin, and zinc oxide, have strong antibacterial qualities. The addition of silver nanoparticles to orthodontic composite weakens the binding. To ascertain the clinical suitability of the bond strength of brackets bonded with composites containing silver nanoparticles, additional research is necessary. Sepiolite nanoparticles appear to strengthen bonds, while curcumin and chitosan nanoparticles appear to not affect binding strength. The findings of titanium oxide nanoparticles' binding strength are incongruous. To further understand the impact of each of these high-band strength nanoparticles, more research is advised. Fluoride nanoparticles did not have a beneficial impact in lowering caries, even though adding ACP nanoparticles and a charged cement of calcium phosphate nanoparticles to the composite produced a regular release of calcium and phosphorus ions. As a result, fresh research is advised for more analysis. According to studies, the friction between orthodontic wires and brackets is decreased when zinc oxide nanoparticles are added. However, the friction is increased when titanium oxide nanoparticles are added to the bracket. Other nanoparticles have not yet been studied. There is not enough research or conclusive findings on the examination of how nanoparticles affect the physical characteristics of acrylic. The toxicity of nanoparticles is one of the key considerations in their utilization. It would appear that nanoparticles are at least not more harmful than ordinary chemicals, notwithstanding the paucity of information in this area. More research is advised to

elucidate these aspects because of the broad scope of the field of nanotechnology and the paucity of research on the impact of nanoparticles on the physical characteristics of orthodontic acrylics, the strength of orthodontic adhesive bonds, fluoride release, and their impact on wire and bracket friction.

Acknowledgments: None

Conflict of Interest: None

Financial Support: None

Ethics Statement: None

References

1. Kaehler T. Nanotechnology: Basic concepts and definitions. *Clin Chem.* 1994;40(9):1797-9.
2. Bayda S, Adeel M, Tuccinardi T, Cordani M, Rizzolio F. The history of nanoscience and nanotechnology: from chemical-physical applications to nanomedicine. *Molecules.* 2019;25(1):112. doi:10.3390/molecules25010112
3. Rangrazi A, Daneshmand MS, Ghazvini K, Shafaei H. Effects of magnesium oxide nanoparticles incorporation on shear bond strength and antibacterial activity of an orthodontic composite: an in vitro study. *Biomimetics.* 2022;7(3):133. doi:10.3390/biomimetics7030133
4. Sodagar A, Bahador A, Pourhajibagher M, Ahmadi B, Baghaeian P. Effect of addition of curcumin nanoparticles on antimicrobial property and shear bond strength of orthodontic composite to bovine enamel. *J Dent (Tehran).* 2016;13(5):373-82.
5. Lee JH, Lee EJ, Kwon JS, Hwang CJ, Kim KN. Cytotoxicity comparison of the nanoparticles deposited on latex rubber bands between the original and stretched state. *J Nanomater.* 2014;2014(4, supplement 1):6.
6. Verma SK, Prabhat K, Goyal L, Rani M, Jain A. A critical review of the implication of nanotechnology in modern dental practice. *Natl J Maxillofac Surg.* 2010;1(1):41-4.
7. Gronwald B, Kozłowska L, Kijak K, Lietz-Kijak D, Skomro P, Gronwald K, et al. Nanoparticles in dentistry—current literature review. *Coatings.* 2023;13(1):102. doi:10.3390/coatings13010102
8. Brayner R. The toxicological impact of nanoparticles. *Nano Today.* 2008;3(1-2):48-55.
9. Xuan L, Ju Z, Skonieczna M, Zhou PK, Huang R. Nanoparticles-induced potential toxicity on human health: applications, toxicity mechanisms,

- and evaluation models. *MedComm* (2020). 2023;4(4):e327. doi:10.1002/mco2.327
10. Panda KK, Achary VM, Krishnaveni R, Padhi BK, Sarangi SN, Sahu SN, et al. In vitro biosynthesis and genotoxicity bioassay of silver nanoparticles using plants. *Toxicol In Vitro*. 2011;25(5):1097-105.
11. Siddiqi KS, Husen A, Rao RAK. A review on biosynthesis of silver nanoparticles and their biocidal properties. *J Nanobiotechnology*. 2018;16(1):14. doi:10.1186/s12951-018-0334-5
12. Nayaka S, Chakraborty B, Bhat MP, Nagaraja SK, Airodagi D, Swamy PS, et al. Biosynthesis, characterization, and in vitro assessment on cytotoxicity of actinomycete-synthesized silver nanoparticles on *Allium cepa* root tip cells. *Beni-Suef Univ J Basic Appl Sci*. 2020;9(1):51. doi:10.1186/s43088-020-00074-8
13. Kasraei S, Sami L, Hendi S, Alikhani MY, Rezaei-Soufi L, Khamverdi Z. Antibacterial properties of composite resins incorporating silver and zinc oxide nanoparticles on *Streptococcus mutans* and *Lactobacillus*. *Restor Dent Endod*. 2014;39(2):109-14.
14. Chambers C, Stewart S, Su B, Jenkinson H, Sandy J, Ireland A. Silver doped titanium dioxide nanoparticles as antimicrobial additives to dental polymers. *Dent Mater*. 2017;33(3):e115-23.
15. Lee SJ, Heo M, Lee D, Han S, Moon JH, Lim HN, et al. Preparation and characterization of antibacterial orthodontic resin containing silver nanoparticles. *Appl Surf Sci*. 2018;432:317-23.
16. Sodagar A, Akhavan A, Hashemi E, Arab S, Pourhajibagher M, Sodagar K, et al. Evaluation of the antibacterial activity of a conventional orthodontic composite containing silver/hydroxyapatite nanoparticles. *Prog Orthod*. 2016;17(1):40.
17. Meher Vineesha C, Varma DPK, Bhupathi PA, Priya CP, Anoosha M, Harsha G. Comparative evaluation of antibacterial effects of nanoparticle-incorporated orthodontic primer: an in vitro study. *J Indian Orthod Soc*. 2021;55(4):390-8. doi:10.1177/0301574220988182
18. Hernández-Gómora AE, Lara-Carrillo E, Robles-Navarro JB, Scougall-Vilchis RJ, Hernández-López S, Medina-Solís CE, et al. Biosynthesis of silver nanoparticles on orthodontic elastomeric modules: evaluation of mechanical and antibacterial properties. *Molecules*. 2017;22(9):1407.
19. Li F, Li Z, Liu G, He H. Long-term antibacterial properties and bond strength of experimental nano silver-containing orthodontic cements. *J Wuhan Univ Technol Mater Sci Ed*. 2013;28(4):849-55.
20. Prabha RD, Kandasamy R, Sivaraman US, Nandkumar MA, Nair PD. Antibacterial nanosilver coated orthodontic bands with potential implications in dentistry. *Indian J Med Res*. 2016;144(4):580-6.
21. Song W, Ge S. Application of antimicrobial nanoparticles in dentistry. *Molecules*. 2019;24(6):1033. doi:10.3390/molecules24061033
22. Mhaske AR, Shetty PC, Bhat NS, Ramachandra C, Laxmikanth S, Nagarahalli K, et al. Antiadherent and antibacterial properties of stainless steel and NiTi orthodontic wires coated with silver against *Lactobacillus acidophilus*-an in vitro study. *Prog Orthod*. 2015;16(1):40.
23. Metin-Gürsoy G, Taner L, Akca G. Nanosilver coated orthodontic brackets: in vivo antibacterial properties and ion release. *Eur J Orthod*. 2017;39(1):9-16.
24. Oei JD, Zhao WW, Chu L, DeSilva MN, Ghimire A, Rawls HR, et al. Antimicrobial acrylic materials with in situ generated silver nanoparticles. *J Biomed Mater Res B Appl Biomater*. 2012;100(2):409-15.
25. Belayutham S, Wan Hassan WN, Razak FA, Mohd Tahir NNZ. Microbial adherence on vacuum-formed retainers with different surface roughness as constructed from conventional stone models and 3D printed models: a randomized controlled clinical trial. *Clin Oral Investig*. 2023;27(6):3245-59. doi:10.1007/s00784-023-04940-4
26. Bahrami R, Pourhajibagher M, Badiei A, Masaeli R, Tanbakuchi B. Evaluation of the cell viability and antimicrobial effects of orthodontic bands coated with silver or zinc oxide nanoparticles: an in vitro study. *Korean J Orthod*. 2023;53(1):16-25. doi:10.4041/kjod22.091
27. Venugopal A, Muthuchamy N, Tejani H, Gopalan AI, Lee KP, Lee HJ, et al. Incorporation of silver nanoparticles on the surface of orthodontic microimplants to achieve antimicrobial properties. *Korean J Orthod*. 2017;47(1):3-10.
28. Moghadamtousi SZ, Kadir HA, Hassandarvish P, Tajik H, Abubakar S, Zandi K. A review on antibacterial, antiviral, and antifungal activity of curcumin. *Biomed Res Int*. 2014;2014(1):186864.
29. Mirhashemi A, Bahador A, Kassaei M, Daryakenari G, Ahmad-Akhoundi M, Sodagar A. Antimicrobial effect of Nano-zinc oxide and Nano-chitosan particles in dental composite used

- in orthodontics. *J Med Bacteriol.* 2015;2(3-4):1-10.
30. Aliasghari A, Rabbani Khorasgani M, Vaezifar S, Rahimi F, Younesi H, Khoroushi M. Evaluation of antibacterial efficiency of chitosan and chitosan nanoparticles on cariogenic streptococci: an in vitro study. *Iran J Microbiol.* 2016;8(2):93-100.
 31. Costa E, Silva S, Madureira A, Cardelle-Cobas A, Tavaría F, Pintado M. A comprehensive study into the impact of a chitosan mouthwash upon oral microorganism's biofilm formation in vitro. *Carbohydr Polym.* 2014;101:1081-6.
 32. Cao B, Wang Y, Li N, Liu B, Zhang Y. Preparation of an orthodontic bracket coated with an nitrogen-doped TiO₂-xNy thin film and examination of its antimicrobial performance. *Dent Mater J.* 2013;32(2):311-6.
 33. Garcia-Contreras R, Scougall-Vilchis RJ, Contreras-Bulnes R, Sakagami H, Morales-Luckie RA, Nakajima H. Mechanical, antibacterial and bond strength properties of nano-titanium-enriched glass ionomer cement. *J Appl Oral Sci.* 2015;23(3):321-8.
 34. Ahrari F, Eslami N, Rajabi O, Ghazvini K, Barati S. The antimicrobial sensitivity of *Streptococcus mutans* and *Streptococcus sanguis* to colloidal solutions of different nanoparticles applied as mouthwashes. *Dent Res J (Isfahan).* 2015;12(1):44-9.
 35. Eslami N, Ahrari F, Rajabi O, Zamani R. The staining effect of different mouthwashes containing nanoparticles on dental enamel. *J Clin Exp Dent.* 2015;7(4):e457.
 36. Sodagar A, Bahador A, Khalil S, Shahroudi AS, Kassaei MZ. The effect of TiO₂ and SiO₂ nanoparticles on flexural strength of poly (methyl methacrylate) acrylic resins. *J Prosthodont Res.* 2013;57(1):15-9.
 37. Beyth N, Yudovin-Farber I, Perez-Davidi M, Domb AJ, Weiss EI. Polyethyleneimine nanoparticles incorporated into resin composite cause cell death and trigger biofilm stress in vivo. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2010;107(51):22038-43.
 38. Kumar VS, Nagaraja B, Shashikala V, Padmasri A, Madhavendra SS, Raju BD, et al. Highly efficient Ag/C catalyst prepared by electrochemical deposition method in controlling microorganisms in water. *J Mol Catal A Chem.* 2004;223(1):313-9.
 39. Ramazanzadeh B, Jahanbin A, Yaghoubi M, Shahtahmassbi N, Ghazvini K, Shakeri M, et al. Comparison of antibacterial effects of ZnO and CuO nanoparticles coated brackets against *Streptococcus mutans*. *J Dent (Shiraz).* 2015;16(3):200-5.
 40. Allaker R. The use of nanoparticles to control oral biofilm formation. *J Dent Res.* 2010;89(11):1175-86.
 41. Reddy AK, Kambalyal PB, Patil SR, Vankhre M, Khan MY, Kumar TR. Comparative evaluation and influence on shear bond strength of incorporating silver, zinc oxide, and titanium dioxide nanoparticles in orthodontic adhesive. *J Orthod Sci.* 2016;5(4):127-31.
 42. Degrazia FW, Leitune VCB, Garcia IM, Arthur RA, Samuel SMW, Collares FM. Effect of silver nanoparticles on the physicochemical and antimicrobial properties of an orthodontic adhesive. *J Appl Oral Sci.* 2016;24(4):404-10.
 43. Blöcher S, Frankenberger R, Hellak A, Schauseil M, Roggendorf MJ, Korbmacher-Steiner HM. Effect on enamel shear bond strength of adding microsilver and nanosilver particles to the primer of an orthodontic adhesive. *BMC Oral Health.* 2015;15(1):42.
 44. Akhavan A, Sodagar A, Mojtahedzadeh F, Sodagar K. Investigating the effect of incorporating nanosilver/nanohydroxyapatite particles on the shear bond strength of orthodontic adhesives. *Acta Odontol Scand.* 2013;71(5):1038-42.
 45. Moreira DM, Oei J, Rawls HR, Wagner J, Chu L, Li Y, et al. A novel antimicrobial orthodontic band cement with in situ-generated silver nanoparticles. *Angle Orthod.* 2014;85(2):175-83.
 46. Felemban NH, Ebrahim MI. The influence of adding modified zirconium oxide-titanium dioxide nano-particles on mechanical properties of orthodontic adhesive: an in vitro study. *BMC Oral Health.* 2017;17(1):43.
 47. Fallahzadeh F, Safarzadeh-Khosroshahi S, Atai M. Dentin bonding agent with improved bond strength to dentin through incorporation of sepiolite nanoparticles. *J Clin Exp Dent.* 2017;9(6):e738-42.
 48. Sodagar A, Akhouni MSA, Bahador A, Jalali YF, Behzadi Z, Elhaminejad F, et al. Effect of TiO₂ nanoparticles incorporation on antibacterial properties and shear bond strength of dental composite used in orthodontics. *Dental Press J Orthod.* 2017;22(5):67-74. doi:10.1590/2177-6709.22.5.067-074.oar
 49. Melo MA, Morais WA, Passos VF, Lima JP, Rodrigues LK. Fluoride releasing and enamel demineralization around orthodontic brackets by

- fluoride-releasing composite containing nanoparticles. Clin Oral Investig. 2014;18(4):1343-50.
50. Xie XJ, Xing D, Wang L, Zhou H, Weir MD, Bai YX, et al. Novel rechargeable calcium phosphate nanoparticle-containing orthodontic cement. Int J Oral Sci. 2017;9(1):24-32.
 51. Enan ET, Hammad SM. Microleakage under orthodontic bands cemented with nano-hydroxyapatite-modified glass ionomer: an in vivo study. Angle Orthod. 2013;83(6):981-6.
 52. Proffit WR, Fields HW, Sarver DM. Contemporary orthodontics-e-book. 5th ed. Elsevier health sciences; 2014.
 53. Behroozian A, Kachoei M, Khatamian M, Divband B. The effect of ZnO nanoparticle coating on the frictional resistance between orthodontic wires and ceramic brackets. J Dent Res Dent Clin Dent Prospects. 2016;10(2):106-11.
 54. Sodagar A, Kassaei MZ, Akhavan A, Javadi N, Arab S, Kharazifard MJ. Effect of silver nanoparticles on flexural strength of acrylic resins. J Prosthodont Res. 2012;56(2):120-4.
 55. Campbell PM. Enamel surfaces after orthodontic bracket debonding. Angle Orthod. 1995;65(2):103-10.
 56. Yamagata S, Hamba Y, Nakanishi K, Abe S, Akasaka T, Ushijima N, et al. Introduction of rare-earth-element-containing ZnO nanoparticles into orthodontic adhesives. Nano Biomed. 2012;4(1):11-7.