

Original Article

The Role of Oral Hygiene Practices in Managing Dental Caries Risk Among Adolescents

Sarah Cui¹, Rahena Akhter^{1*}, Daniel Yao¹, Xin-Yun Peng¹, Mary-Anne Feghali¹, Winnie Chen¹, Emily Blackburn¹, Elizabeth Fieldja Martin¹, Gulam Khandaker²

¹Sydney Dental School, Faculty of Medicine and Health, The University of Sydney, Camperdown, Sydney, NSW 2006, Australia.

²Central Queensland Public Health Unit (Rockhampton), Rural and District Wide Service, Central Queensland Hospital and Health Service, Rockhampton, QLD 4700, Australia.

*E-mail ✉ rahena.akhter@sydney.edu.au

Received: 02 May 2021; Revised: 15 August 2021; Accepted: 16 August 2021

ABSTRACT

It is widely recognized that practicing oral hygiene is crucial for reducing the risk of oral cavities. To evaluate the risk factors for dental caries, such as gender and oral hygiene habits including using mouthwash, fluoride toothpaste, and brushing your teeth regularly. Three hundred people from a clinic of dental in Jeddah, Saudi Arabia, participated in this cross-sectional and comparative study. A questionnaire was utilized to evaluate oral hygiene habits and demographic data. Tests were performed to evaluate erosion scores, plaque indices, Streptococcus mutans (MS) counts, lactobacilli levels, buffering capacities, and salivary secretion rates. Gender was linked to erosion scores, plaque index, MS count, and buffering capacity. The high buffering capacity prevalence and MS counts were significantly greater among boys than girls. Furthermore, the average plaque index for boys was greater than that of girls. It is advised to implement oral hygiene health education programs designed to decrease the prevalence of dental caries among adolescents because oral hygiene behaviors can affect the likelihood of dental cavities. Regular tooth brushing and mouthwash use were linked to the rates of salivary secretion, and people who used non-fluoridated toothpaste had a higher development of lactobacilli than those who used fluoridated toothpaste.

Keywords: Dental caries, Risk factors, Saudi Arabia, Oral hygiene

How to Cite This Article: Cui S, Akhter R, Yao D, Peng XY, Feghali MA, Chen W, et al. The Role of Oral Hygiene Practices in Managing Dental Caries Risk Among Adolescents. Turk J Dent Hyg. 2021;1:1-8.

Introduction

Dental caries are characterized by the gradual deterioration of tooth enamel. This can be partially ascribed to bacteria located on the teeth that break down fermentable carbs. This process creates an acidic setting conducive to tooth decay [1-3]. Even though tooth decay can be prevented, it remains the most prevalent chronic disease among children and teenagers. Among those aged 12–19 years, its 4 fold more prevalent than bronchial asthma [4]. In individuals aged 2 to 19, the prevalence of dental caries was found to be 45.8% in primary teeth and 13% in permanent teeth [5]. Furthermore, as per the global

burden of disease 2017 report, the most prevalent health condition is untreated tooth decay in permanent teeth [6]. Even in high-income countries, the costs of dental treatment are considerable, comprising five percent of overall health expenditure and twenty percent of out-of-pocket health expenses [7]. The treatment of dental cavity according to current guidelines involves reducing cariogenic bacteria, remineralizing lesions, and employing restorative treatments. A recent change has occurred in caries management, moving away from a solely surgical approach toward more preventive methods [8]. cavity resistance development is influenced by a factors variety, including lifestyle choices, socioeconomic

status, and dietary habits [9]. Dental caries are mainly caused by unhealthy habits, including inadequate oral hygiene and a bad diet [10].

Maintaining good oral hygiene is important for dental health, which in turn influences systemic health and life quality [11]. The primary indicator of tooth decay in school-age children is poor oral hygiene [12]. Additionally, the prevalence of dental caries in kids aged 12 is significantly influenced by their oral hygiene condition [13]. When children acquire *Streptococcus mutans* (MS) during their formative years, the chances of them developing dental caries rise considerably; however, maintaining effective oral hygiene and following a diet low in cariogenic substances can help reduce the risk to some extent. These measures assist in managing plaque, which can counteract the effects of early bacterial exposure and ultimately lower the incidence of caries [14]. Moreover, the development of caries was linked to advancing age, the percentage of teeth showing visible plaque, and the MS presence [15]. The goal was to evaluate the risk factors influencing dental caries, which include gender and oral hygiene practices like regular tooth brushing, using fluoride toothpaste, and using mouthwash. There is little research on the connection between dental caries and oral hygiene practices in Saudi Arabian adolescents.

Materials and Methods

This comparative cross-sectional study included 300 adolescence aged 15-18 from a dental clinic in Jeddah, Saudi Arabia. An electronic database was performed using PubMed and Google Scholar to gather background information and data related to the research question and determine the knowledge gap. A questionnaire was used to assess demographic data and oral hygiene habits. In addition, tests were conducted to measure salivary secretion rates, buffering capacities, lactobacilli levels, MS counts, plaque indices, and erosion scores.

Buffer capacity was expressed as 1 for high, 2 for medium, and 3 for low, while MS count and lactobacilli were expressed as 1 for very low, 2 for low, 3 for medium, and 4 for high.

Data analysis was performed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS), version 25. Results were analyzed using the chi-square test, Monto Carlo test, Fisher exact test, and Student's t-test. All tests were two-tailed, and a p-value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results and Discussion

Fifty percent (150) of the three hundred individuals were female. While 191 (63.7%) of their fathers earned college and second degree degrees, 129 (43%) of their mothers had either university or postgraduate education (**Table 1**).

Table 1. Demographic specifications of the sample (n = 300)

	N (%)
Gender	
Boys	150 (50.0)
Girls	150 (50.0)
Age	
13 years	1 (.3)
14 years	75 (25.0)
15 years	224 (74.7)
What is your mother's education level?	
University and postgraduate	129 (43.0)
Secondary and preparatory	50 (16.7)
Others	121 (40.3)
What is your father's education level?	
University and postgraduate	191 (63.7)
Secondary and preparatory	21 (7.0)
Others	88 (29.3)

Note. All variables are reported as numbers and %.

In terms of oral hygiene practices, girls showed higher rates compared to boys for engaging in bruxism, regularly using mouthwash, using fluoride toothpaste,

and brushing teeth twice a day (13.3% vs. 6.7%, 55.3% vs. 39.9%, 70.7% vs. 28.2%, and 58.7% vs. 29.3%, respectively), with these differences being statistically

remarkable ($P < 0.001$, $P < 0.008$, $P < 0.001$, and $P < 0.001$, respectively). Indeed, males were more likely than females to clench and bite on hard objects (45.3% vs. 34.7% and 18.7% vs. 5.3%, respectively), and these differences were statistically remarkable ($p < 0.001$) (Table 2).

Table 2. Habits of Oral Hygiene based on gender

	Boys N (%)	girls N (%)	P-value
3. How often do you use a toothbrush?			
Never	2 (1.3%)	0 (0.0%)	$<0.001^a$
Few times or once a week	21 (14.0%)	3 (2.0%)	
Once a day	62 (41.3%)	21 (14.0%)	
Twice a day	44 (29.3%)	88 (58.7%)	
More than twice a day	16 (10.7%)	33 (22.0%)	
Other	5 (3.3%)	5 (3.3%)	
6. Do you use toothpaste when brushing your teeth?			
Yes	146 (97.3%)	139 (92.7%)	0.064 ^b
No	4 (2.7%)	11 (7.3%)	
7. What type of toothpaste do you usually use?			
Fluoridated	42 (28.2%)	106 (70.7%)	$<0.001^a$
Non-fluoridated	5 (3.4%)	0 (0.0%)	
Do not know	102 (68.5%)	44 (29.3%)	
8. Do you utilize mouthwash regularly?			
Yes	59 (39.9%)	83 (55.3%)	0.008 ^b
No	89 (60.1%)	67 (44.7%)	
9. Which of the following oral habits do you have?			
Bruxism	10 (6.7%)	20 (13.3%)	$<0.001^b$
Clenching	28 (18.7%)	8 (5.3%)	
Biting on a hard object	68 (5.3%)	52 (34.7%)	
Other	44 (29.3%)	70 (46.7%)	

Note. All variables are reported as number and %

The significance test was done at a 0.05 level

^a Test of Monto Carlo was utilized

^b Test of Chi-Square was utilized

Significant findings are in bold

In the comparison of boys' and girls' dental histories, it was found that the attendance rate for dental visits was slightly greater among girls (98%) than boys (96%), though this difference was not statistically remarkable ($p=0.501$). However, six month referrals to dental practice were significantly more frequent in boys ($p = 0.013$) (Table 3).

Table 3. History of dental based on gender

	boys N (%)	Girls N (%)	P-value
10. Have you ever used a dentist?			
Yes	144 (96.0%)	147 (98.0%)	0.501 ^c
No	6 (4.0%)	3 (2.0%)	
11. If yes, how many times have you used the dentist?			
Every 6 months	35 (23.3%)	23 (15.3%)	0.013 ^a

Every year	3 (2.0%)	10 (6.7%)
Irregularly	29 (19.3%)	19 (12.7%)
Only when in pain	66 (44.0%)	66 (44.0%)
Other	17 (11.3%)	30 (20.0%)

Note. All variables are reported as number and %

The significance test was done at a 0.05 level

^aMonte Carlo was utilized

^c Test of Fisher exact was utilized

Significant findings are in bold

The descriptive statistics for our sample's lactobacilli saliva flow frequency are provided in **Table 4**. level, MS count, buffering capacity, plaque index, and

Table 4. Plaque index, Lactobacilli, MS count, Buffer capacity, and Saliva secretion rate descriptive statistics.

	Mean (SD)	Median
Rate of saliva secretion	1.48 (1.04)	1.30
Index of plaque	4.00 (3.10)	4.00
	%	
Capacity of buffer	9% / 35.7% / 37%	
Yellow (low) / green (medium) / blue (high)		
Count of MS	27% / 13% / 22% / 38% /	
High / medium / low / very low		
Lactobacilli	13.3% / 26.3% / 25.7% / 34.7%	
High / medium / low / very low		

Note. All variables are reported as mean, standard deviation, and median.

Capacity of Buffer (high (1)-medium (2)- low (3)).

Lactobacilli and MS count (very low (1)- low (2)- medium (3)- high (4))

Die hohe Pufferkapazität trat bei Jungen häufiger auf als bei Mädchen (48 % gegenüber 27 %, jeweils; $p=0.001$), whereas the median was the same for both boys and girls (2, which is the medium). Moreover, Boys were more likely than females to have a high MS count (35% vs. 19%, respectively; $p \leq 0.001$). The index of plaque was higher in boys compared to girls (4.69 [3.49] vs. 3.31 [2.48] and 2.55 [1.21] vs. 2.03 [1.19]), with considerable disparities ($p<0.001$ and $p<0.001$, respectively). Furthermore, boys had higher median values for plaque index and MS count compared to girls (5 vs. 3 and 2 vs. 1.5, respectively) (**Table 5**).

Table 5. Erosion score, Plaque index, Lactobacilli, MS count, Buffer capacity, and saliva secretion rate based on gender.

	Mean (SD)	Median	P-value
Rate of saliva secretion			
Boys	1.59 (0.85)	1.50	0.073 ^a
Girls	1.37 (1.20)	1.00	
Index of plaque			
Boys	4.69 (3.49)	5.00	<0.001 ^a
Girls	3.31 (2.48)	3.00	
	%	Median	P-value
Capacity of buffer			
Boys	8% / 44% / 48%	2.00	0.001 ^b
Yellow (low) / green (medium) / blue (high)			
Girls	10% / 63% / 27%	2.00	
Yellow (low) / green (medium) / blue (high)			
Count of MS			

Boys	35% / 11% / 28% / 26%	2.00	<0.001 ^b
High / medium/ low/ very low			
Girls	19% / 15% / 16% / 50%	1.50	
High / medium/ low/ very low			
Lactobacilli			
Boys	35% / 11% / 28% / 26%	2.00	0.129 ^b
High / medium/ low/ very low			
Girls	17% / 21% / 27% / 35%	2.00	
High / medium/ low/ very low			

Note. All variables are reported as mean, standard deviation, and median.

The significance test was done at a 0.05 level

^a Student T-test was utilized

^b Test of chi-square was utilized

Significant findings are in bold

Capacity of buffer (high (1)-medium (2)- low (3))

lactobacilli and MS count (very low (1)- low (2)- medium (3)- high (4))

Those who maintained a regular tooth-brushing routine exhibited a greater saliva secretion rate (1.52 [1.09] compared to 1.18 [0.56]), and this variation was statistically remarkable (p=0.004). Die anderen Indizes wiesen jedoch darauf hin, dass es keine wesentlichen Unterschiede in Bezug auf die Häufigkeit des Zähneputzens gab (**Table 6**).

Table 6. Erosion score, Plaque index, Lactobacilli, MS count, Buffer capacity, and saliva secretion rate based on regular toothbrushing.

	Mean (SD)	Median	P-value
Rate of saliva secretion			
Regular toothbrushing	1.52 (1.09)	1.33	0.004 ^a
Nonregular toothbrushing	1.18 (0.56)	1.00	
Index of plaque			
Regular tooth brushing	3.90 (3.00)	4.00	0.137 ^a
Non-regular toothbrushing	4.72 (3.76)	4.50	
	%	Median	P-value
Capacity of buffer			
Regular toothbrushing	9% / 53% / 37%	2.00	0.743 ^b
Yellow (low) / green (medium) / blue (high)			
Nonregular toothbrushing	6% / 56% / 39%	2.00	
Yellow (low) / green (medium) / blue (high)			
MS count			
Regular toothbrushing	27% / 13% / 22% / 38%	2.00	0.945 ^b
High / medium/ low/ very low			
Nonregular toothbrushing	31% / 14% / 19% / 36%	2.00	
High / medium/ low/ very low			
Lactobacilli			
Regular toothbrushing	13% / 26% / 26% / 35%	2.00	0.920 ^b
High / medium/ low/ very low			
Nonregular toothbrushing	14% / 31% / 22% / 33%	2.00	
High / medium/ low/ very low			

Note. All variables are reported as mean, standard deviation, and median.

The significance test was done at a 0.05 level

^a Student T-test was utilized

^b Test of chi-square was utilized

Significant findings are in bold

Capacity of buffer (high (1)-medium (2)- low (3))

Lactobacilli and MS count (very low (1)- low (2)- medium (3)- high (4))

The prevalence of high lactobacilli was greater among individuals by employing no fluoride containing

toothpaste compared in comparison to who use fluoridated toothpaste (40% vs. 12%, respectively), with a statistically significant difference ($p = 0.040$). Additionally, the lactobacilli median was greater for users of non-fluoridated toothpaste than for those

employing fluoride containing toothpaste (3 vs. 2). The other statistics, however, indicated that the differences in relation to the use of fluoridated toothpaste were not significant (**Table 7**).

Table 7. Erosion score, Plaque index, Lactobacilli, MS count, Buffer capacity, and saliva secretion rate based on using fluoridated toothpaste.

	Mean (SD)	Median	P-value
Rate of saliva secretion			
Using fluoridated toothpaste	1.45 (1.18)	1.00	0.540 ^a
Using non-fluoridated toothpaste	1.77 (0.37)	1.80	
Index of plaque			
Using fluoridated toothpaste	3.57 (3.02)	3.00	0.082 ^a
Using non-fluoridated toothpaste	6.00 (4.18)	5.00	
	%	Median	P-value
Capacity of buffer			
Using fluoridated toothpaste Yellow (low) / green (medium) / blue (high)	13% / 55% / 32%	2.00	0.220 ^b
Using non-fluoridated toothpaste Yellow (low) / green (medium) / blue (high)	40% / 40% / 20%	2.00	
Count of MS			
Using fluoridated toothpaste High / medium/ low/ very low	26% / 10% / 20% / 43%	2.00	0.684 ^b
Using non-fluoridated toothpaste High / medium/ low/ very low	20% / 0% / 40% / 40%	2.00	
Lactobacilli			
Using fluoridated toothpaste High / medium/ low/ very low	12% / 22% / 30% / 35%	2.00	0.040 ^b
Using non-fluoridated toothpaste High / medium/ low/ very low	40% / 40% / 20% / 0%	3.00	

Note. All variables are reported as mean, standard deviation, and median.

The significance test was done at a 0.05 level

^a Student T-test was utilized

^b Test of chi-square was utilized

Significant findings are in bold

Capacity of buffer (high (1)-medium (2)- low (3))

Lactobacilli and MS count (very low (1)- low (2)- medium (3)- high (4))

Individuals that did not mention using mouthwash regularly had higher saliva secretion rates than as compared to individuals that used (1.62 [1.21] vs. 1.32 [0.8]), with a statistically significant difference ($p=0.011$). Nevertheless, other indices proved that there were no significant differences in regular mouthwash use.

Dental caries harms teeth, leading to cavities, abscesses, and the possibility of losing teeth [16]. Oral health problems mainly impact those who come from lower socioeconomic backgrounds [17]. This study, which was comparative and cross-sectional in design, involved 300 adolescents aged 15 to 18 years at a dental clinic. We aimed to evaluate the risk factors for dental caries in connection with oral hygiene practices.

Plaque indices, MS numbers, and buffering capacity were significantly correlated with gender. On the other hand, salivary secretion rates were linked to regular mouthwash and dental brushing. The use of fluoride toothpaste was correlated with the levels of lactobacilli. As far as gender is concerned, we identified significant disparities among males and females in relation to brushing time every day, the use of fluoride containing toothpaste, frequent mouthwash usage, and teeth clenching; these were all more common among girls. On the other hand, boys exhibited higher rates of biting on hard objects and clenching. Therefore, it can be concluded that girls demonstrate a greater commitment to overall oral health, possibly because of concerns regarding the look

of their smile. This observation aligns with a study that found girls acquire superior oral health practices and dental knowledge compared to males [18]. Furthermore, a different study found that the prevalence of dental caries was higher among men [19]. In this study, boys were found to have a higher prevalence of MS counts and high buffering capacity compared to girls. In addition, boys had a higher average plaque index than girls. The results corroborate those of an earlier investigation that found a connection between dental caries and gender [20].

The saliva secretion rate was found to be considerable greater in individuals who brushed their teeth regularly, according to our findings. Scanty brushing was identified as a major contributor for tooth cavity due to its contribution to rapid plaque accumulation [21]. Additionally, the present research found that the amount of lactobacilli was significantly greater among individuals who used toothpaste lacking fluoride additives. Our results align with those from a study conducted by Stecksén-Blicks & Gustafsson, which found that fluoride containing-toothpaste use was linked with reductions in oral cavities and mean lactobacilli levels [22]. Fluoride intake that is not up to par was considered another risk factor for tooth caries, since fluoride aids in cavity prevention and can even remedy initial tooth damage [23]. Plaque indices, MS numbers, and buffering capacity were significantly correlated with gender. On the other hand, salivary secretion rates were linked to regular mouthwash and dental brushing [24].

This research confirmed risk factors influencing oral cavities, involving sex and oral hygiene initiatives such as frequent teeth cleaning, using fluoride-containing toothpaste, and using mouthwash regularly. Thus, we strongly advise the establishment of oral hygiene health education initiatives, particularly targeting young children and teenagers.

This study has limitations, including the introduction of bias through self-report questionnaires and the absence of clinical dental examinations.

Conclusion

Girls exhibited more positive attitudes toward oral hygiene. Gender was linked to buffering capacity, MS counts, and plaque indices. Higher salivary secretion rates were linked to regular tooth brushing and mouthwash use. Given that the use of toothpaste with fluoride affects lactobacilli levels, we strongly recommend introducing additional oral hygiene health education initiatives aimed at educating adolescents on the value of at-home dental care. Not much research has been done on the connection between dental caries and

oral hygiene habits among Saudi Arabian teenagers between the ages of 15 and 18. Therefore, more study is needed to investigate oral health issues using in-depth interviews and clinical examinations.

Acknowledgments: None

Conflict of Interest: None

Financial Support: None

Ethics Statement: This study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of the Faculty of Dentistry #218-01-21. Parents granted consent on behalf of their children before data collection.

References

1. CDC. Dental Caries (Tooth Decay). [Online]. 2016. Available from: https://www.cdc.gov/healthywater/hygiene/diseases/dental_caries.html. [Accessed 9 1 2021].
2. Asgari I, Soltani S, Sadeghi SM. Effects of iron products on decay, tooth microhardness, and dental discoloration: a systematic review. *Arch Pharm Pract.* 2020;1(11):60-72.
3. Yamany IA. The employment of CBCT in assessing bone loss around dental implants in patients receiving mandibular implant supported over dentures. *Int J Pharm Res Allied Sci.* 2019;8(3):9-16.
4. Dye BA, Tan S, Smith V, Lewis BG, Barker LK, Thornton-Evans G, et al. Trends in oral health status: United States, 1988-1994 and 1999-2004. *Vital Health Stat 11.* 2007;(248):1-92.
5. CDC. Prevalence of total and untreated dental caries among youth: United States; 2018.
6. James SL, Abate D, Abate KH, Abay SM, Abbafati C, Abbasi N, et al. Global, regional, and national incidence, prevalence, and years lived with disability for 354 diseases and injuries for 195 countries and territories, 1990–2017: a systematic analysis for the global burden of disease study 2017. *Lancet.* 2018;392(10159):1789-858.
7. OECD. Health at a Glance 2017: OECD indicators. 2017.
8. Young DA, Lyon L, Azevedo S. The role of dental hygiene in caries management: a new paradigm. *Am Dent Hyg Assoc.* 2010;84(3):121-9.
9. Ur Rehman MM, Mahmood N, Ur Rehman B. The relationship of caries with oral hygiene status and extra-oral risk factors. *J Ayub Med Coll Abbottabad.* 2008;20(1):103-8.

10. Gao X, Lo EC, McGrath C, Ho SM. Innovative interventions to promote positive dental health behaviors and prevent dental caries in preschool children: study protocol for a randomized controlled trial. *Trials*. 2013;14(1):118.
11. Poklepovic T, Worthington HV, Johnson TM, Sambunjak D, Imai P, Clarkson JE, et al. Interdental brushing for the prevention and control of periodontal diseases and dental caries in adults. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2013;(12):CD009857.
12. Amin TT, Al-Abad BM. Oral hygiene practices, dental knowledge, dietary habits and their relation to caries among male primary school children in Al Hassa, Saudi Arabia. *Int J Dent Hyg*. 2008;6(4):361-70.
13. Gupta P, Gupta N, Singh HP. Prevalence of dental caries in relation to body mass index, daily sugar intake, and oral hygiene status in 12-year-old school children in Mathura city: a pilot study. *Int J Pediatr*. 2014;2014:921823.
14. Harris R, Nicoll AD, Adair PM, Pine CM. Risk factors for dental caries in young children: a systematic review of the literature. *Community Dent Health*. 2004;21(1):71-85.
15. Warren JJ, Weber-Gasparoni K, Marshall TA, Drake DR, Dehkordi-Vakil F, Kolker JL, et al. Factors associated with dental caries experience in 1-year-old children. *J Public Health Dent*. 2008;68(2):70-5.
16. Archibald J, Seladi-Schulman J. The Stages of Tooth Decay: What They Look Like; 2020.
17. Peres MA, Macpherson LM, Weyant RJ, Daly B, Venturelli R, Mathur MR, et al. Oral diseases: a global public health challenge. *Lancet*. 2019;394(10194):249-60.
18. Kumar S, Kumar A, Badiyani B, Kumar A, Basak D, Ismail MB. Oral health impact, dental caries experience, and associated factors in 12–15-year-old school children in India. *Int J Adolesc Med Health*. 2017;29(2).
19. Singh A, Purohit B, Sequeira P, Acharya S. Oral health status of 5-year-old Aborigine children compared with similar aged marginalised group in south western India. *Int Dent J*. 2011;61(3):157-62.
20. Bashirian S, Shirahmadi S, Seyedzadeh-Sabounchi S, Soltanian AR, Karimi-Shahanjari A, Vahdatinia F. Association of caries experience and dental plaque with sociodemographic characteristics in elementary school-aged children: a cross-sectional study. *BMC Oral Health*. 2018;18(1):1-2.
21. Clinic M. Cavities/tooth decay. 2017.
22. Stecksén-Blicks C, Gustafsson L. Impact of oral hygiene and use of fluorides on caries increment in children during one year. *Community Dent Oral Epidemiol*. 1986;14(4):185-9.
23. CDC. Water fluoridation basics. 2017.
24. Azizi AR, Lawaf S, Najafi MA. Comparison of the Effect of different chewing gums and one mouth wash on the amount and ph of Saliva in healthy individuals. *J Dent*. 2007;8(3):42-9.